Looking into Socio-cognitive Relations between Urban Areas based on Crowd Movements Monitoring with Twitter

Shoko WAKAMIYA*  Ryong LEE*  Kazutoshi SUMIYA*

Due to the proliferation of location-based information services, there is abundant urban information which makes us difficult to catch up with the characteristics and dynamics of our living space. However, nowadays, crowd lifelogs shared over social network sites are attracting a great deal of attention as a novel source to search for local information from the massive voices and lifelogs of crowds. In this regard, we can further look into urban images representing how we recognize a city in mind through the direct massive crowd experiences. In this work, we explore crowd-experienced local information over location-based social network sites to derive much better understandable and useful urban images. In detail, we propose a method to generate a socio-cognitive map where characteristic urban clusters are projected based on cognitive distance between urban areas. Specifically, in order to measure cognitive distances between urban clusters and examine their influential strengths, we observe crowd’s movements over Twitter. Finally, we show an experimental result of generating a socio-cognitive map illustrating crowd-sourced cognitive relations between urban clusters in Kinki area, Japan.

1. Introduction

Recently, due to the widespread of location-based information services, the explosive growth of local information like maps, geographical statistics and local web pages virtually enables us to search for whatever we want for our daily decision makings. However, it is increasingly becoming difficult more and more to explore useful information from the overflowing local information. In order to support user’s urban lives with local information, we need to extract the essence of the complex and dynamic nature of urban area. In many respects, we need much intuitive and succinct maps which are well-arranged to describe the overall characteristics and dynamics. Therefore, it is critical to generate a kind of cognitive map enabling us to easily take the image of our living space.

In this work, we challenge to explore crowd-experienced local information over social network sites such as Twitter and Foursquare to extract urban images based on crowd experiences in the real space. Indeed, so far, in order to grasp how people live in an urban space, person trip (PT) survey has often been conducted. Especially, in Japan, this kind of survey has been made every 10 years or more under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. Although we can obtain a snapshot of people’s daily trip in an urban area by this kind of survey, it would not be enough to examine dynamic social nature of urban space because practically impossible to consecutively capture our daily lives.

In this paper, for the purpose of exploring crowd’s common cognition to an urban area, we generate a socio-cognitive map using crowd’s movements observed over Twitter. Especially, as for crowd’s common cognition, we focus on cognitive distances between urban areas. On the basis of crowd’s movements with spatio-temporally indexed tweets, we measure cognitive distances between urban clusters and reallocating the urban clusters based on the relative closeness by means of Multi-dimensional Scaling (MDS). Furthermore, we compute their influential strengths for emphasizing the urban clusters eventually by drawing a cognitive map with Weighted Voronoi Diagram. In particular, we summarize our contributions of this work as follows:

- exploiting crowd-experienced local information from massive crowd lifelogs over location-based social network sites,
- presenting cognitive distances between urban clusters for deriving common urban cognition, and
- representing crowd-experienced urban image as a socio-cognitive map.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 addresses our research model for generating a socio-cognitive map with social network sites and describes related work. Section 3 details a method for socio-cognitive map generation exploiting crowd’s lifelogs. Section 4 shows an experimental result with geo-tagged tweets over Twitter. Section 5 describes the conclusion and future work.

2. Exploiting Crowd-experienced Local Information

In this section, we introduce our approach to explore crowd’s urban cognition by exploiting crowd-experienced local information publicly shared over social network sites. Then, we briefly describe some related work in terms of crowd-experienced local information.

2.1 Exploring Crowd’s Cognition for Urban Area

In this work, we attempt to extract crowd’s common
crowd lifelogs are regarded as the accumulation of crowd’s experiences and knowledge in urban space. Thus, exploring crowd-sourced experiences effectively is one of key topics of recent research studies of location-based services and social network sites. McArdle et al. [3] investigated the usefulness of digital footprints of individual movement for calibrating human mobility models within an urban traffic micro-simulation framework. Hsieh et al. [4] presented a method to recommend a time-sensitive trip route by squeezing a lot of knowledge from check-in data over location-based services. In our previous work [5], we proposed a method to characterize urban area by exploring latent patterns of crowd behavior using Twitter. This paper is significantly different from these studies because we aim to measure urban relations focusing on crowd’s movements between urban clusters and generate a socio-cognitive map based on the relations.

3. Integrating Crowd-experienced Local Information with a Map

In this section, we describe a detailed procedure to generate a socio-cognitive map with crowd’s movements through Twitter.

3.1 Extracting Crowd’s Movements from Social Network Sites

In order to extract crowd-experienced local information from crowd lifelogs over social network sites, we utilize Twitter with which we collect geo-tagged tweets as shown in Fig. 3 (1). However, it takes a considerable amount of time and efforts to acquire a significant number of geo-tagged tweets due to practical limitation of an open API4 provided by Twitter. Therefore, in order to efficiently
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4 Twitter Open API: http://apiwiki.twitter.com/Twitter-Search-API-Method%3Asearch
monitor crowd behavior for a specific region of any size, we utilized a geographic tweets gathering system which was developed in our previous work [2].

Next, we are interested in crowd’s movements which can be extracted with primary metadata of geo-tagged tweet: user ID, timestamp, and location information already identified as coordinates by means of geo-coding which can translate place names into the corresponding exact locations with Google Map’s geo-coding service 5. Furthermore, in order to effectively search moving segments, we arrange the tweets based on user ID and sort each user’s tweets in the order of the timestamp. Here, if the successive geo-tagged tweets were written at different locational points, we consider this means a user’s moving segment depicted by a blue line in Fig. 2 (b). Consequently, we could observe crowd’s movements using their lifelogs on Twitter.

### 3.2 Looking for Crowded Urban Clusters

In general, a map consists of some important landmarks. Similarly, we should find representative geographical objects or areas. In this paper, we define a populated space as an urban cluster and locate them based on the geographical density of geo-tagged tweets as shown in Fig. 3 (2). However, because there are too many tweet occurrence locations at which one or more persons were published geo-tagged tweets, it would require unbearable computational efforts to cluster and finally find out urban clusters. Hence, we need to reduce the data size in much smaller and computable size with less loss of essential quality of the original distribution. For this, we adopted NNClean (Nearest Neighbor Clutter Removal) algorithm [6] which can filter high-frequency locational points. Then, in order to locate urban clusters with the ideally reduced dataset, we applied DBSCAN (Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise) algorithm [7]. Here, we defined the original variant as a minimum number of points MinPts within a specified radius radius. In Fig. 4, we show urban clusters located by empirically setting MinPts and radius to 6 tweets and 650m, respectively. Eventually, we found 44 urban clusters (c_1 \sim c_{44}) which are represented by convex-hull based boundary polygons [8]. In this method, we are easily and successfully able to achieve simple findings of significant urban clusters only according to geo-tagged tweets.

### 3.3 Examining Cognitive Distances between Urban Clusters

Then, we need to define and measure cognitive distances between urban clusters as shown in Fig. 3 (3). We assume that if the distance between urban clusters is somewhat long in the real space and lots of crowds are moving in and out between these clusters, the crowds may cognitively construct a map in mind, where these clusters become closer than the actual physical distance. Therefore, we consider that urban clusters which are tightly associated with each other in terms of crowd experiences should be projected close on a socio-cognitive map. On the basis of this assumption, we made a formula to calculate cognitive distances between two urban clusters as follows:

\[
\text{CogDist}(c_i, c_j) = w_1 \cdot \text{EucDist}(c_i, c_j) + w_2 \cdot \text{ExpDist}(c_i, c_j) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{ExpDist}(c_i, c_j) = \frac{1}{\text{MovSeg}(c_i, c_j)} \quad (2)
\]

where the function \(\text{CogDist}(c_i, c_j)\) calculates cognitive distances between two distinct urban clusters \(c_i\) and \(c_j\). Specifically, cognitive distance is calculated by physical distance and experiential distance between the urban clusters. The Euclidean distance calculates physical distance between the urban clusters by the function
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In the experiment in Section 4, we will show a cognitive distance, respectively as illustrated in Fig. 5. These weighting values can be adjusted by user purposes for generating a cognitive map. For example, if a user wants to generate a cognitive map by focusing on crowd’s movements, he/she can set a high weight to crowd’s moving segments. The experiential distance is calculated by $\text{ExpDist}(c_i, c_j)$ which is based on the total number of crowd moving segments between the urban clusters and calculate by the function $\#\text{MovSeg}(c_i, c_j)$. $\text{ExpDist}$ and $\text{ExpDist}$ are normalized and weighted by two values $w_1$ and $w_2$, respectively. We calculate cognitive distances between all pairs of urban clusters located in the urban area. As a result, we construct matrices in terms of physical distance, experiential distance and cognitive distance, respectively as illustrated in Fig. 5. These weighting values can be adjusted by user’s purposes for generating a cognitive map. For example, if a user wants to generate a cognitive map by focusing on crowd’s movements, he/she can set a high weight to $w_2$. The functional distance is calculated by $\text{ExpDist}(c_i, c_j)$ which is based on the total number of crowd moving segments between the urban clusters and calculate by the function $\#\text{MovSeg}(c_i, c_j)$. $\text{ExpDist}$ and $\text{ExpDist}$ are normalized and weighted by two values $w_1$ and $w_2$, respectively. We calculate cognitive distances between all pairs of urban clusters located in the urban area. As a result, we construct matrices in terms of physical distance, experiential distance and cognitive distance, respectively as illustrated in Fig. 5. These weighting values can be adjusted by user’s purposes for generating a cognitive map. For example, if a user wants to generate a cognitive map by focusing on crowd’s movements, he/she can set a high weight to $w_2$.

In the experiment in Section 4, we will show a cognitive map generated in extreme cases weighting the maximum value on physical distance and experiential distance respectively.

**3.4 Projecting Urban Clusters based on Cognitive Distances**

Next, we need to project urban clusters based on the calculated cognitive distances as shown in Fig. 3 (4). In order to represent intuitive distance between urban clusters, we need to appropriately allocate the clusters on a cognitive map.

In this paper, we decided to apply Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) [9] which can allocate given dataset of multi-dimensional space by considering similarities (or dissimilarities) in the dataset. Therefore, it can allocate data in the neighborhood if the similarity between the data is high, vice versa. Specifically, this algorithm starts with a matrix of similarities between multiple objects, then assigns a location to each item in N-dimensional space, where $N$ is specified a priori as shown in Fig. 6. In the experiment described in Section 4, we mapped urban clusters in two-dimensional space by applying MDS.

We also labeled names of generated clusters by a Reverse Geocoding service 6 which translates a given location coordinate into a textual place name. For each cluster, we obtain its representative place name by using this service.

**3.5 Emphasizing Urban Clusters based on Influential Strengths**

We could generate a tentative socio-cognitive map by the above steps. However, its representation would be far from a map apparently, because it just represents point-based distribution of urban clusters. Therefore, in order to show a socio-cognitive map in an intuitive way, we decided to emphasize featured urban clusters on the socio-cognitive map by focusing on crowd’s movements. Thus, we measure an influential strength in an urban area by examining crowd’s movements involving the urban cluster as illustrated in Fig. 3 (5). We define an influential strength involving an urban cluster $c_i$ by the formula as follows.

$$\text{Influence}(c_i) = \#\text{MovSeg}(c_i)$$

Fig. 7 shows two urban clusters in Osaka and in Kyoto in our finding. Interestingly, effective ranges of a cluster located in Osaka in Fig. 7 (a) covers the most major urban clusters in our experimental area of Kinki area, Japan. Then, we emphasize urban clusters based on the

6 Google Reverse Geocoding API: https://developers.google.com/maps/documentation/geocoding/#ReverseGeocoding
influential strengths of urban clusters as shown in Fig. 3 (b). For intuitive representation as a map, if an urban cluster has strong influential strength in an urban area, the cluster should be represented largely. For this, we applied a space partitioning method, Weighted Voronoi Diagram [10] to the above result. Here, Voronoi Diagram is a well-known geometric representation for partitioning a space drawing a line between two points keeping with the same distance. However, this simple method cannot show influential strengths of urban clusters. Thus, we decided to utilize Weighted Voronoi Diagram which can have a weight extending its size. In our method, we applied an influential strength as a weighting value on each partitioned area by Voronoi Diagram.

4. Experiment
We describe the experiment for extracting crowd’s cognition for an urban area and visualizing it as a socio-cognitive map as described in Section 3. We present our dataset collected from Twitter in Section 4.1 and show socio-cognitive maps generated with the dataset in Section 4.2.

4.1 Dataset
In this experiment, we were able to collect 157,097 geo-tagged tweets by 25,674 distinct users in a weekday (April 23rd, 2012) in a Kinki area including Kobe, Osaka, Kyoto and Nara in Japan (longitude range = [134.122433, 136.337186], latitude range = [33.810804, 36.785050]) from Twitter using the geographical tweet gathering system developed in our previous work [2]. By using these geo-tagged tweets distributed as shown in Fig. 2 (a), we locate urban clusters as shown in Fig. 4 and extract crowd’s moving segments as illustrated in Fig. 2 (b).

4.2 Looking into Crowd-experienced Urban Relations
In order to generate a socio-cognitive map, we examined crowd-experienced proximity of urban clusters by calculating cognitive distances between urban clusters. Especially, in order to observe how geographical proximities between urban clusters are sophisticated by crowd cognition, we defined cognitive distances by adjusting weighting values on physical distance and experiential distance. As a result, Fig. 8 show two different socio-cognitive maps which projected urban clusters based on cognitive distances by means of MDS. In these maps, texts represent labels of urban clusters. Specifically, Fig. 8 (a) present a socio-cognitive map which was generated by projecting urban clusters based on cognitive distance with the maximum weighting value (≈ 1.0) on physical distance. Specifically, on the figure, the distance between KobeChuoWard (c₁) and OsakaChuo Ward (c₂₀) is nearly similar with the distance between KobeChuoWard (c₁) and KyotoNakagyoward (c₁₄). Not surprisingly, these relative distances are the same with geographical relationship. In contrast, in case of Fig. 8 (b), the socio-cognitive map was generated based on cognitive distance with the maximum weighting value (≈ 1.0) on experiential distance. As a result, OsakaChuoWard (c₂₀) is projected far from KobeChuoWard (c₁) than Kyoto Nakagyoward (c₁₄). Thus, we could successfully extract crowd’s cognition for the urban area which is often different from conventional local information.

However, the visual representation of MDS-based socio-cognitive map is hard to be regarded as a map. Therefore, we decided to emphasize urban clusters by partitioning the generated map for emphasizing each cluster’s influence more effectively and intuitively. Specifically, Fig. 9 shows a socio-cognitive map visualized top-10 urban clusters by allocating regions to them according to their influential strengths. Interestingly, from this map, we can know which urban clusters are significant in crowd’s cognition. For example, Kobe ChuoWard (c₁) is closer to KyotoNakagyoward (c₁₄) than OsakaChuoWard (c₂₀) which is different from the real space. In addition, we can grasp which urban cluster plays
the role of hub in this urban area. For example, regions of clusters in OsakaChuward ($c_{20}$) covered a large space on this map. In fact, some other clusters located in Osaka such as OsakaHigashiyodogawaward ($c_{23}$), OsakaSumiyosihaward ($c_{33}$), OsakaYodogawaward ($c_{33}$), ToyonakaKitasakakuraduka ($c_{24}$) are projected close to urban clusters located in other prefectures like NaraHashimotocho ($c_{4}$) or KyotoMinamiward ($c_{16}$). Voronoi-based visualization of socio-cognitive map would be helpful to grasp significant clusters and their relationships intuitively.

5. Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we propose a method to draw a socio-cognitive map for exploring crowd’s cognition for an urban area by exploiting crowd’s experienced local information over Twitter. In the experiment, we successfully showed a definite distinction between geographical relationships in the real space and crowd’s cognition for the urban area.

In future work, we will conduct on comprehensive socio-cognitive map generation by further observing various crowd’s experiences utilizing crowd lifelogs over social network sites. In addition, we will study various useful metrics of distance for supporting user’s decision makings such as distance based on crowd’s traveling time.
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